IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION No 1517 of 1992

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE M.C.PATEL

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

THE ASSISTANT COLLECTOR OF CUSTOMS

Versus

SULEMAN BHIBHA

Appearance:

MR MR Shah for Petitioner

(MR AS KOTHARI) for Respondent No. 1

MR VM PANCHOLI, APP for Respondent No. 2

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE M.C.PATEL

Date of decision: 17/11/2000

ORAL JUDGEMENT

The learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petition has become infructuous in view of the

fact that the trial is already over and the respondent has been convicted. The respondent has also filed an Appeal against his conviction. Thus, the petition has become infructuous and is accordingly disposed of. Rule discharged.

hki